23 August 1950

EXECUTATION FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CERTRAL INTRILIGENCE

VIA : Chief, COAPS

SUBJECT : Coordination of IN-312

- 1. The difficulties encountered in the projection of IK-312 (Chinese Carmenist and Chinese Sationalist Intentions and Capabilities with Respect to Taiwan), while not now in nature, were so noteworthy that it is considered advisable to bring them to the attention of the RCI for his information. If this production should be considered as a fair example, it would appear that five working days is the minimum production time from inception to final publication as a "coordinated" national intelligence estimate. This time requirement is certainly managementable in the world situation as it is today, and this consideration became all the more important then viewed in the light of recent argumentation to place additional explanation on the "coordination" process for the production of National Intelligence.
- 2. Among the problems encountered in the preparation and coordination of this study were the following:
 - a. Indifferent working-level support afforded CIA by the military agencies.

b. Challenge of the GIA entirate in the coordination conference for not taking into consideration the capabilities of the US forces deployed in the area although information concerning these espebilities had been refused to CIA. The tares of reference, although restricted in this way, were, nevertheless, acceptable to the representative of the requester (State) but were initially opposed by the military agencies. Omission of US capabilities was subsequently accepted by the representatives of the military accepted by the representatives of the military accepted by the representatives of the military accepted and a specific note concerning the minimizer incerted. In spite of this, however, the discente of the military agencies were based largely on the fact that the effect of Mi intervention was not considered in the paper.

73.7% **73.**7%

يتناثناه ش

Coord with

-2-

c. Repudiation by the heads of the three military agencies of the concurrences their representatives expressed at a fermal coordination scating in which the estimate was extensively solified to meet their objections. In addition, the dissent of the Air Force was not in proper form and, therefore, unacceptable. Fire agency action was not completed by the military agencies by the deadline set, although Many's dissent was received in time for publication.

These problems ere elaborated in detail in TAD A.

J. The basic issue presented by this case, opert free purely procedural or machemical espects of coordination, is the proper location of intelligence responsibility for the kind of estimate originally desired by the requester; massly, one including an evaluation of it capabilities in a situation where to forces some already deployed. The possibility that this kind of problem may be presented more frequently in the future indicates a most for an early resolution of this question.

1920002: Baldini Assistant Director Deports and Estimates

Attachment

om/ope.ed tem no

OAD/ORE:fd (fr D/Pub raft)

Distribution:

Orig to addressee

COAPS

S/PP

25X1

D/FE

UND/ORK

SECKEL

TAB WAR

Lask of Northne-Larel Couperation.

The following is quoted from a report of the far fast Division of ONE which was responsible for the preparation of the initial draft;

"...The military egometes ... did something less than what responsibly could be expected of them. The project was assigned to D/WE at about 0930 hours on Membey, 24 July. Terms of reference were under discussion with the requester until nearly noon. N/FE delivered to the IAC agencies prior to 1600 hours a substantive outline on which were indicated the items of information that each agency was requested to present at a preliminary IAC working-level recting on the following afternoon, Tuesday, 25 July. In the meentine, 1/72 produced a preliminary draft for use at this meeting. It became apparent in the S July meeting that none of the IAC conference had propered any of the asterial requested and that some was qualified to discuss D/Fa's preliminary draft except in the most general terms. It was therefore nacessary to set up a second meeting for 0930 hours the following sorming, sechnology, 26 July. In order to reduce the burden on the 180 conference, the meeting was held in the fentagon rather than at CIA. This conference involved nearly four bours of discussion. Army and Air Force conference again. were not completely proposed with the data requested. Macmetile, D/FE had bed to meet its first desciling (to SS/SHE) with a tectative Graft in which all quantitative military data were mebject to revision. The validity of some of the date provided by the JAC (motably Air Force date) was questioned by the CIA representatives but at IAC insistence the figures were accorded for the second IN druft. Subsequently, at the INC meeting on Thursday, 27 July, held for the purpose of forest coordination, the very figures which had been questioned by CIA on Tednesday were challenged by the military lat representatives. This indifferent lat support of an urgent mational intulligence estimate was probably not deliberate but rether arose from prooccupation with departmental deadlines. It is notable that the military agencies prepared a draft joint estimate between 25 and 27 July and drew beavily on the original D/FE draft. dodging or energing the treatment of certain important considerations, however. In short, the essential spirit of autual cooperation was lacking."



Approved For Release 2004/03/15 : CIA-RDP67-00059A000300030002-3

TAB "A" - 2

2. Challenge of the forme of Reference of the Estimate.

The original requirement from the Department of State included a request for an actinate of the power of the US 7th Fleet to repol a Communist attack. Recembe of the operational observer of this information, this point was initially emitted from the terms of reference and the State representative was advised. The Assistant Director, UNE, however, took steps to obtain the information but it was not made available to him. The paper, therefore, was limited to Chinece Communist and Chinece Entimalist intentions and capabilities and consideration of the probable developments if some Chinece Communist forces should succeed in leading on Taiwen.

it the coordination meeting insediate objections were raised by the Army representative because the estimate did not include consideration of the capabilities of the forces consisted to the defense of Thiman. It appeared that the military agencies were generally inclined to consider the paper unrealistic in this respect. The army representative also appeared to question the propriety of an estimate of the probable outcome of an operation where US forces were already consisted. However, after changing the title to indicate that only Communist and Nationalist capabilities were being compilered, and including a note specifically analyting consideration of US forces, the conference proceeded with coordination of the paper and ultimately agreed to it serviced.

On the following day, however, the Havy exhalited a discont based on the feat that the estimate did not consider the offect of the employment of DS forces on Chinese Communication intentions. A telephoned concurrence from the Army was received in the marriag but cancelled later in the day by General Irwin. This was followed by a written dissent a week later based also on the follows to include the effect of US intervention in Taiwan. The Air Force dissent, which was not received in acceptable form in time for publication, also took into consideration the effect of US intervention. It should be noted that, although the paper did not estimate US capabilities, it did consider the effect of the US pronouncement of 27 June on Communist intentions.

3. Republished of Compourrences of Conference by the Reads of the Fillitary Againston.

At the conclusion of the coordination meeting on Thursday, IT July, all four agencies indicated their agreement with the paper as modified. The deedline for formal concurrence or dissont had been fixed

SECKEL

Approved For Release 2004/03/15 : CIA-RDP67-00059A000300030002-3

TAD "A" - 3

at 1000 the following day. Agency action was to be reported by telephone, to be followed immediately by written confirmation. The
deadline to the requester was 1700. The State Department telephoned
its concurrence at 0927 and followed it promptly with written confirmation. The Army Mephoned concurrence at 0950, but this was concelled by General Irwin leter in the day. A written discont was
dispatched by Army on h Laguet.

At 0956-the Air Force called to request a change in the conclusions which CIA could not accept. The issue was one which had been extensively discussed and disposed of at the coordination meeting. At about 1020 the Air Force indicated that a discent would be forthebeing by 1300. They were unable to meet this deadline and requested an extension — which was granted — to 1500. A representative from the Air Force scrived at 1510 with a discent but, in the meantime, his office had called requesting changes in the discent. Such time was command by the Air Force representative in revising the discent. The Assistant Director, ORE, intervened at this time and advised the Air Force that the discent was unacceptable. This was reported in detail by the AD/ORE in a seconsular dated 28 July 1950 to the ICI, and supplemented in a mesographic dated 2 August 1950.

The Hery reported at about 1045 that they were still undecided but were inclined to comean with comment if the comment would be published. Hery was advised that the comments would not be published, and at 1250 they cavised CIA that a dissent would be submitted. This was received in time for inclusion in the paper.

As reported in detail by the AD/ORE in his memorandum of 28 July, two copies of this paper were dispetched to Mr. Armstrong in the State Department with a motation of the non-resulpt of Army and Air Communic in time for inclusion.